

PATENT


REMARKS

Pursuant to the Examiner Interview conducted on October 20, 2005 between Examiner Ted T. Vo and Steven R. Gilliam, Applicant submits this supplemental reply. Claims 1, 3, and 35 – 38 have been amended. In addition, claims 2 and 54 – 60 have been cancelled.

Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the limitations of claim 2. Claim 2 has been cancelled. Accordingly, claim 3 has been amended to depend from claim 1 instead of 2.

Claims 35 – 38 have been amended to cure an antecedent basis error. These claims previously incorrectly referred to the “method” instead of the “optimizing compiler” of claim 34.

In summary, claims 1 and 3 – 69 are in the case. All claims are believed to be allowable over the art of record, and a Notice of Allowance to that effect is respectfully solicited. Nonetheless, if any issues remain that could be more efficiently handled by telephone, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at the number listed below.

<b><u>CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION</u></b>	
I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being	
<input type="checkbox"/> deposited with the US Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail and addressed as shown above.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> facsimile transmitted to the US Patent and Trademark Office.	
 Steven R. Gilliam	<u>27-Oct-2005</u> Date

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL: \_\_\_\_\_

Respectfully submitted,



Steven R. Gilliam, Reg. No. 51,734  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
(512) 338-6320 (direct)  
(512) 338-6300 (main)  
(512) 338-6301 (fax)